UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK						
	X					
MICHAEL REAPE,						
Plaintiff,		09 Civ. 1363 (BMC)				
-against-						
POLICE OFFICER ALEXANDRO BERRIOS AND POLICE OFFICER MAURICE FYFFE,						
Defendants.						
	X					

VERDICT FORM

COURT EXHIBIT

	1.	Has plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant Alexandro		
		Berrios used excessive force against him?		
		YES NO		
		Proceed to Question 2		
	2.	Has plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant Maurice Fyffe		
		used excessive force against him?		
		YES NO		
		Proceed to Question 3		
II.		ASSAULT		
	3.	Has plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant Berrios assaulted		
		him?		
		YES NO		
		Proceed to Question 4		
	4.	Has plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant Fyffe assaulted		
		him?		
		YES NO		
		Proceed to Question 5		
Ш		BATTERY		
	5.	Has plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant Berrios		
		committed a battery against him?		

Proceed to Question 6

6.	Has plaintiff proven by a preponder	ance of	the evidence that defendant Fyffe committed
	a battery against him?		
	VES	NO	

If you answered NO to Questions 1 through 6 then your deliberations are over and your verdict is complete. If you answered YES to Question 1, 2 3, 4, 5, or 6, then proceed to Question 7.

7. State the total dollar amount, if any, of compensatory damages that plaintiff is entitled to recover.

Compensatory Damages \$_____

If you find that plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages then proceed to Question 8. If you find that plaintiff is not entitled to compensatory damages then skip to Question 9.

8. Of the compensatory damages that plaintiff sustained, indicate how much, if any, each defendant is liable for:

Defendant Berrios \$_____

Defendant Fyffe \$

Proceed to Question 10

9. If you find that plaintiff is not entitled to compensatory damages, then award him						
nominal damages in the sum of \$1.00.						
Nominal Damages \$						
Proceed to Question 10						
10. Has plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that punitive damages should be						
awarded against defendant Berrios?						
Yes No						
If you answered YES, state the amount. \$						
Proceed to Question 11						

11. Ha	s plaintiff prove	n by a preponderance of t	he evidence that punitive damages should be
aw	arded against de	efendant Fyffe?	
	Yes	No	_
	If you answ	vered YES, state the amou	nt. \$
We	e, the Jury duly e	empanelled and sworn in t	he above-entitled action, upon our oaths, do
find the ab	ove verdict.		
/s	/		
ha-		$\underline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$	
Foreperson	n		
Dated: Jur	ne <u>/ /</u> , 2011		